

Source: The Pilot,

http://www.thepilot.com/news/2011/aug/08/fracking-promise-and-perils/

Is using natural gas produced through fracking better for the environment than using coal? The answer is an unqualified maybe . That's because we don't have good enough data to know definitively. But a new collaboration between academics, the fracking industry and environmentalists aims to fill the data gap.

First, some background.

The boom in fracking — actually, hydraulic fracturing (injecting liquid into shale rock to release natural gas stored deep underground) — is a well-known one that has completely upended energy markets. Prices for natural gas have plummeted to historic lows, so that

for the first time in recent history we are getting more of our electricity from natural gas than coal. The process of fracking has, of course, generated significant environmental controversy because of concerns that the liquids used can contaminate groundwater, the drilling creates air pollution, the process generates significant waste water and so on. But one counter to the environmental concerns has been that from a climate perspective the displacement of coal with natural gas is a good thing. That's because natural gas combustion to generate electricity produces about half the greenhouse gas emissions as the combustion of coal. Another counter is that natural gas combustion generates significantly fewer air pollutants and air toxins like particulate matter and mercury than the combustion of coal.

But greenhouse gas emissions don't just occur during the combustion process. Nor do conventional air pollutants. To determine whether reliance on natural gas produced through fracking is less environmentally harmful than the use of coal requires a life-cycle analysis, figuring out all of the pollutants emitted from the releasing of the gas in shale rock to its combustion to produce electricity. One of the biggest concerns about fracking is that it can release methane during drilling and other parts of the process. Methane is a significantly more potent greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide, the principal greenhouse gas released during combustion, so relatively small releases of methane from fracking can quickly turn natural gas derived from shale rock into a dirtier fuel from a climate perspective than coal. And the air pollutants generated from drilling equipment, the use of generators, truck traffic and so forth can exceed coal combustion, though a fair comparative analysis requires subjecting coal combustion to a life cycle analysis as well.

At this point, however, we don't know have a lot of data about the climate and air pollution generation of fracking using a life cycle analysis. In 2011, Cornell scientists, using data from the Environmental Protection Agency, <a href="https://showed.com/showed">showed</a> that fracking generates enough methane to make it <a href="https://worse.com/showed.com/s

A new collaboration between scientists, industry and an environmental group is aimed squarely at resolving the controversy. According to EnergyWire, nine oil and gas producers have agreed to give access to their fracking sites to consultants hired by

academics from the University of Texas, Austin, with co-sponsorship from the Environmental Defense Fund, to conduct a life cycle analysis of methane and air pollutant emissions from fracking. EDF has previously produced studies showing that the fracking process produces significant air pollution so its involvement in the study suggests that the collaboration is serious about producing solid information to answer the debate. The Texas study is one of a series of studies of large natural gas basins focused on methane releases so by 2013 we should be much closer to understanding the full climate and air pollution impacts of fracking.

The fracking industry has appropriately <u>received harsh criticism</u> for its secretive ways and its unwillingness to disclose information about potential environmental harms from its methods. It's nice to see that at least for the UT-EDF-industry collaboration they're behaving differently.