Red Paint Will Curb Public Access to Palos Verdes Nature Preserve, One of Los Angeles County’s Most Significant Open Spaces
On Aug. 18, Rancho Palos Verdes’s City Council voted unanimously to adopt new “temporary” parking restrictions at Portuguese Bend Reserve. Credit: City of Rancho Palos Verdes.

See a full set of photos illustrating parking restrictions at Portuguese Bend Reserve on the Emmett Institute Flickr page.

Update: Responding to public concern about its new parking restrictions, the Rancho Palos Verdes City Council voted unanimously at its meeting on Sept. 1 to move away from a full parking prohibition. The council voted to remove a limited number of parking spaces along Crenshaw Blvd. I will update again when the final plan is released. On Sept. 1, a city staffer responded by email to this blog post. I have included relevant notes below.

Using COVID-19 for cover, the City of Rancho Palos Verdes took steps this month to limit public access to a popular portion of the Palos Verdes Nature Preserve, an open space rivaled in size and beauty in Los Angeles County only by Griffith Park, the Santa Monica Mountains, and Angeles National Forest.

The city council should immediately re-consider its decision to eliminate public street parking near the Portuguese Bend Reserve, made hastily on Aug. 18 with public input only from local homeowners, and set to be confirmed at another meeting on Sept. 1. A more equitable solution is needed to provide public access. Non-residents make up more than 95 percent of visitors to the preserve on holidays and weekends and the purchase and management of the public space is supported by state and county resources.
Local homeowners have long advocated for restrictions on public street parking within a half mile of the trailhead for Portuguese Bend Reserve in Rancho Palos Verdes. Credit: Daniel Melling

The new parking restrictions reinforce the Palos Verdes peninsula’s reputation for hostility to outsiders in open spaces, especially Latino and Black visitors, who have historically been excluded by racial covenants from purchasing properties on the peninsula. Today Black and Latino residents make up only 10.8 percent of Rancho Palos Verdes residents, compared to 57.6 percent across Los Angeles County. The restrictions add to a long history in California of homeowners excluding the public from the coast through parking restrictions, legal or illegal. While sanctioned by lawmakers, Rancho Palos Verdes’s “no parking” measures have the same effect as the illegal red-painting of curbs by a vandal in La Jolla earlier this summer: deterring non-residents from enjoying a protected public space that is only accessible by car. [Note: a recent Los Angeles County report provides useful information on park inequity across the region.]

The parking restriction was decided at an Aug. 18 city council meeting, when four councilmembers voted unanimously to paint curbs red and install “no parking signs” along Crenshaw Blvd. south of Crest Rd. The council’s move will eliminate 55 parking spaces near popular trailheads for the Portuguese Bend Reserve, the largest portion of 1,600 acres of open space with habitat managed by the nonprofit Palos Verdes Peninsula Land Conservancy (PVPLC) in partnership with state agencies. [Update: the city noted that]
PVPLC manages habitat on preserve lands and I have adjusted the sentence above accordingly. For more information on the city’s partnership with state agencies and local nonprofits on use of the land, including its primary purpose as protecting listed species and their habitat, and guidelines for public access, see documents and background information on the City of RPV’s website here.

The new restrictions force visitors to park a further half mile away on a major arterial road and present a significant barrier for entry for all visitors—but especially families, those with mobility constraints, and the elderly.

Rancho Palos Verdes has eliminated safe public street parking and shunted visitors to a stretch of Crenshaw Blvd. where cars routinely travel at more than 45 mph and a crash barrier separates pedestrians from cars. Credit: Daniel Melling

The parking restrictions create a major safety hazard for visitors, increasing the risk of vehicle collisions and serious pedestrian injuries along a stretch of Crenshaw Blvd. where cars and trucks routinely drive at more than 45 miles per hour. On one side of the street, a waist-high collision barrier installed to prevent vehicles from crashing at speed into nearby backyards stands between the curb and parking. Two newly installed city A-frames at the top of the intersection are the only signage to indicate that parking is in fact legal along the street.
While some of Los Angeles County’s most popular trails have seen sensible adjustments to access to prevent COVID-19 transmission, overcrowding inside the Portuguese Bend Reserve is not the reason for the city council’s new parking restrictions. Both local homeowners and city officials speaking at the Aug. 18 meeting agreed that the preserve’s trails have plenty of room for hikers. Rather, homeowners lodged complaints about drivers waiting for street parking spots or making (legal) u-turns.

The complaints are not new. The city has reduced public access to open space before at the request of local residents. In 2015, the city made the controversial move to cut 42 parking spots along the same stretch of Crenshaw Blvd., by painting curbs red. The city also added signage on neighborhood streets restricting visitor parking. Local homeowners have opposed some alternative city proposals to manage access, contending that parking meters on public streets would cause “blight” and a long-planned parking lot at the foot of the reserve would increase crime and traffic. [Note: for a detailed account of neighborhood concerns over traffic and noise and the city’s response, read a staff report and other information attached to the city’s Sept. 1 agenda item.]

The city’s new “no parking” order will be in effect for 65 days as city staff produce a more complete parking plan for the reserve. Staff have already extensively studied and made recommendations for several parking options, including an online reservation system, pay stations along public streets, or a long-planned “Gateway Park” parking lot at the foot of the Portuguese Bend Reserve.

Councilmembers at the Aug. 18 meeting acknowledged the city is unlikely by early November to have decided, let alone implement any alternative parking plan. As a result, the city could vote to indefinitely extend the “temporary” parking restrictions for any number of two-month periods. [Note: the city has declined to commit to a date when it would remove any “no parking” signage. An agenda report for the city’s Sept. 1 meeting included the following discussion note, “It is recommended that the temporary no parking area expire November 5, 2020 (within the 60 day time period). If viable parking solutions are still incomplete, staff will bring this temporary no parking item back to the City Council at its November 4, 2020 meeting to consider its options including a time extension for the temporary no parking area.”]

While I don’t have the same legal training as most of my Legal Planet colleagues, I see several reasons the council should restore parking on Crenshaw Blvd. near the trailhead and seek creative solutions to increase access to this important public space.

The council came to a decision without hearing perspectives from Rancho Palos Verdes
residents who require parking to access the trailhead. Non-residents were also denied a voice in the decision, even though many have made financial or volunteer contributions to PVPLC and may live in communities devoid of open space.

The new parking restrictions may violate the deed of sale for the Portuguese Bend Reserve. At the Aug. 18 meeting, a city staffer noted that as part of the city’s 2005 purchase agreement for the parcel, the city was “required to provide adequate, reasonable parking for the reserve” and cannot give preferential treatment to anyone based on their residence for entry into the reserve. [Update: a city staffer shared the following information: “The City has received grant funding from a variety of federal, state, county, and local sources. Below is language which is part of the one of the LA County deed restrictions associated with the grant pertaining to equitable access: ‘Any beach, park or other public facility acquired, developed, rehabilitated or restored with funds derived under this resolution shall be open and accessible to the public without discrimination as to race, color, sex, sexual orientation, age, religious belief, national origin, marital status, physical or medical handicap, medical condition, or place of residence...The recipient shall not discriminate against, or grant preferential treatment to, any person or organization seeking to use such facility based upon the place of residence of such person or member of such organization.’”] [Note: a PVPLC timeline details the sequence and amounts of state, county, private, and city funds used to acquire and conserve preserve lands.]

The “no parking” measure is not a recommended solutions among several analyzed by city staff, who concluded painting curbs red would require “extensive public outreach before implementation.” [Note: a city staffer said their recommendations applied to a permanent, and not “temporary,” redcurbing measure. Read the full staff report and a staff PowerPoint presentation from Aug. 18 for information on the city’s proposed long-term parking solutions and recent short-term measures taken, including more law enforcement and online communications efforts to highlight access to other parts of the preserve.]

The Aug. 18 vote would have gone into effect without notice but for a requirement that a city ordinance be passed to authorize the street change. As a result, the public was provided seven days’ notice via updates to the city’s Facebook and Twitter accounts. [Note: The city also sent an Aug. 26 update via its email listserv. The Aug. 18 city council meeting agenda item did not include any explicit reference to redcurbing or “no parking” restrictions on Crenshaw Blvd.]

It’s not clear the new parking restrictions improve public safety, a requirement for the special ordinance. The plan shunts street parking down Crenshaw Blvd. to a segment of road with much faster and higher volumes of vehicle traffic, where parallel parking would
be more likely to result in collisions and pedestrians are at far greater risk of injury.

The parking restrictions may attract the scrutiny of the California Coastal Commission, a state agency tasked with preserving public access to the coast, which has previously weighed in on parking at Abalone Cove, a nearby coastal reserve also managed by PVPLC, nixing a city proposal for preferential parking treatment for local residents. [Update: a city staffer shared that “the City’s Coastal Zone, under the California Coastal Commission’s jurisdiction, does not include the Portuguese Bend Reserve and extends from Palos Verdes Drive South/West to the ocean.”] [Note: In addition to other state contributions, the California Coastal Conservancy, another state agency, contributed $1,500,000 in 2005 for the acquisition of the Portuguese Bend Reserve. In 2009, the Coastal Conservancy authorized a disbursal of $5,500,000 for the purchase of the Filiorum Reserve, a land parcel adjacent to the Portuguese Bend Reserve and accessible by the Burma Rd. trailhead off Crenshaw Blvd. and at Ocean Terrace Rd. Authorization was subject to the following condition, among others: ‘The City shall permanently dedicate the property for habitat and resource protection, open space preservation, and public access (to the extent compatible with habitat and resource protection) in a manner acceptable to the Executive Officer.’ The City of Rancho Palos Verdes contributed $600,000 for acquisition of the property].

In coming to a solution, the council should consider ways to include more diverse voices in its decision-making process. The councilmembers voting on Aug. 18 were all white men, most with engineering degrees, who spent significant time considering complex and expensive technical solutions such as online permit reservation systems and not enough time soliciting and listening to the viewpoints of non-residents and other stakeholders.

Preserving public access will require city officials to transcend the city’s legacy of exclusion and do the community engagement necessary to make decisions reflecting the perspectives and interests of a broader group of constituents.

[Aug. 31, 11:25 p.m.]: I updated the post to reflect more accurate U.S. Census data for Black and Latino populations in Rancho Palos Verdes and Los Angeles County. The previous post stated that Black and Latino residents make up 7 percent of residents on the Palos Verdes peninsula.

[Sept. 11, 5:39 p.m.]: I updated two sentences in the blog post to better represent the nature of homeowners’ historical positions on parking for access to the Palos Verdes Nature Preserve. For more information on homeowners’ positions and the city’s response, see detailed city staff reports on March 17, 2020 and Aug. 18, 2020.]
Disclosures: I grew up in Rancho Palos Verdes and volunteered in high school with the PVPLC to clear non-native species from parts of the reserve. Two family friends currently serve on the PVPLC board of directors.